
Snapshot Report

NCES 2004-454XA4

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses mathematics on a 0-500 point scale. In 2003, Atlanta City was one of nine urban districts that voluntarily participated in the NAEP mathematics assessment on a trial basis.

Overall Mathematics Results for Atlanta

- In 2003, the average scale score for fourth-grade students in Atlanta was 216. This was lower¹ than that of the nation's public schools (234).
- Atlanta's average score (216) in 2003 was lower than that of public schools in large central cities² (224), and lower than that of Georgia (230).
- The percentage of students in Atlanta who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 13 percent in 2003. The percentage of students in Atlanta who performed at or above the *Basic* level was 50 percent.



NOTE: The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500, with the achievement levels corresponding to the following points: Below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214-248; *Proficient*, 249-281; *Advanced*, 282 or above.

Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Atlanta								
	Percentage	Average	Percentage of students at					
Reporting groups	of students ³	Score	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		
Male	50	215 ↓	51 ↑	35 ↓	11 ↓	3		
Female	50	216 ↓	49 ↑	38 ↓	11 ↓	2		
White	10 ↓	258	11	20 ↓	50	20 1		
Black	87 ↑	211 ↓	55 ↑	39 ↓	7 ↓	#		
Hispanic	2 ↓							
Asian/Pacific Islander	#↓							
American Indian/Alaska Native	#↓							
Free/reduced-price school lunch								
Eligible	81 🕇	209 ↓	57 ↑	38 ↓	5 ↓	#		
Not eligible	18 ↓	244	21	30 ↓	39	11		

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2003, male students in Atlanta had an average score that was not found to be significantly different from that of female students. In the Nation, male students had an average score that was higher than that of female students.
- In 2003, White students had an average score that was higher than that of Black students (47 points). This performance gap was wider than that of the Nation (27 points).
- The sample size was not sufficient to permit a reliable estimate for Hispanic students in Atlanta.
- In 2003, students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that was higher than that of students who were eligible (34 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of the Nation (23 points).

Mathematics Scale Scores at Selected Percentiles

Scale Score Distribution

	25 ¹¹¹	50'''	75'''
	Percentile	Percentile	Percentile
Atlanta	195↓	214 ↓	234 ↓
Large central city (Public)	204 ↓	224 ↓	245↓
Nation (Public)	215	235	254

An examination of scores at different percentiles on the 0–500 NAEP mathematics scale at each grade indicates how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels of the distribution performed. For example, the data above show that 75 percent of students in public schools nationally scored below 254, and 75 percent of students in Atlanta scored below 234.

² "Large central city" includes nationally representative public schools located in large central cities within metropolitan statistical areas as defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget. It is not synonymous with "inner city."

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for Free/reduced-price lunch is not displayed. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2003 Trial Urban District Mathematics Assessment.

[#] The estimate rounds to zero.

⁻⁻⁻ Reporting standards not met; sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

^{*} Significantly different from Atlanta.

[↑] Significantly higher than, ↓ lower than appropriate subgroup in the nation (public).

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited-English-proficient students in the NAEP samples and changes in sample sizes. NAEP sample sizes have increased in 2003 compared to previous years, resulting in smaller detectable differences than in previous assessments.

³ For comparison, minority students comprised 78 percent of students in large central city public schools and 42 percent in public schools nationally. Also, students eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch comprised 69 percent of students in large central city public schools and 44 percent in public schools nationally.